Original Article

Effect of dry heat and steam sterilization on load-deflection characteristics of β -titanium wires: An *in vitro* study

Shiva Alavi¹, Neda Sinaee¹

¹Torabinejad Dental Research Center and Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

ABSTRACT

Background: Sterilization techniques could affect the characteristics of orthodontic wires. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of steam and dry heat sterilization techniques on load-deflection behavior of five types of β -titanium alloy wires.

Materials and Methods: The samples consisted of 30 straight lengths of five types of β -titanium alloy wires: Titanium Molybdenum Alloy (TMA) Low Friction (TMAL),TMA Low Friction Colored (HONE), Resolve (RES), BetaForce (BETA), and BETA CNA (CNA). Thirty wire segments were divided into three groups of 10. Group I was the control group and the group 2 samples were sterilized by dry heat in an oven (60 minutes at 160°C) and group 3 by steam in an autoclave (15 minutes at 121°C). Then all the wire samples underwent a three-point bending test in a testing machine to evaluate load-deflection properties. Data was analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA and Scheffé's test ($\alpha = 0.05$). **Results:** The results showed that dry heat sterilization significantly increased force levels during both loading and unloading of CNA, BETA and RES and during loading of HONE (P < 0.05). Steam sterilization significantly increased force levels during both loading and unloading of CNA, BETA and RES and during loading of HONE (P < 0.05), with no effects on the load-deflection characteristics of TMAL, CNA and RES (P > 0.05). **Conclusion:** It appears dry heat sterilization increases stiffness of RES, BETA, CNA and HONE but autoclave sterilization did not have any effect on load-deflection characteristics of most of the β -titanium wires tested, indicating that clinicians who want to provide maximum safety for their patients can autoclave TMAL, RES and CNA before applying them.

Key Words: Beta titanium, orthodontic wire, sterilization

INTRODUCTION

Received: April 2012

Accepted: July 2012

Dr. Neda Sinaee.

Address for correspondence:

Torabinejad Dental Research

Center and Department of Orthodontics, School of

Dentistry, Isfahan University

E-mail: nedasinaee@gmail.

of Medical Sciences,

Isfahan, Iran.

com

Sterilization in orthodontics has repeatedly been discussed and emphasized in the dental literature.^[1-6] The orthodontic personnel are at a great risk of various contagious diseases and Hepatitis B, Acquired immuno deficiency syndrome (AIDS) and Herpes infections are some of the serious conditions encountered in an orthodontic office.^[3,4] Therefore, the Center for Disease Control (CDC) specifies that all instruments

Access this article online			
	Website: www.drj.ir		

which are not expected to penetrate into soft tissue or bone but will certainly contact oral tissues must be sterilized, too.^[1] In cases in which barrier techniques are not used, cross-infection between the orthodontic personnel and patients is possible. In addition, since orthodontic clinics have a large number of patients during the day compared to other dental clinics, each clinic requires its own custom-made sterilization processes.^[4] Orthodontic wires constitute the most important items in orthodontic clinics. They produce the desired biomechanical forces for tooth movement.^[7] These wires are usually marketed sealed in separate bags to avoid cross-contamination. It was shown in a study by Pernier et al. that 12% of unused orthodontic wires are not sterile and produce bacterial colonies in a culture. Therefore, the instructions on the packages generally recommended sterilization before use.[8] At present, orthodontic wires are selected in

different stages of the treatment procedure not only based on wire diameter, but also biomechanical and deactivation properties of wires in order to achieve the best treatment results.^[9,10] β -titanium (TMA) wires are alloys of titanium and molybdenum, which were introduced in the early 1979 by Goldberg and Burstone for use in orthodontic treatment.^[11] These wires are becoming more popular because of their excellent balance of mechanical properties and absence of nickel, resulting in better tolerance by many patients; some of these mechanical properties include:

- 1. Lower elastic modulus in comparison to stainless steel
- 2. High formability
- 3. Ability of direct welding
- 4. Good spring-back
- 5. Low stiffness
- 6. Low force delivery^[11-16]

The limitation of β -Ti wires is their high coefficient of friction. However, some manufacturers have used different methods to solve this problem, including incorporation of a titanium nitride and titanium oxide layer on the wire surface through ion implantation, changing their composition, highly polishing of the surface and increasing the surface smoothness.^[7,17-24]

Some previous studies have evaluated the effect of sterilization on wires with various alloy combinations $(\beta$ -Ti, NiTi, SS),^[8,25-28] with contradictory results in relation to β -Ti wires. In addition, after the expiration of patent on β -Ti wires by Ormco (Glendora, USA)^[20,29,30] California. various manufacturers produce these wires with different compositions and processing methods, resulting in different mechanical properties and different effects as a result of sterilization processes. Therefore, the clinician needs to have a proper understanding of these characteristics. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of sterilization with dry heat and steam on load-deflection characteristics of β -Ti wires, with different brands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study five different types of β -titanium wires with a cross-section of 0.43×0.64 mm (0.017×0.025 inch) were evaluated [Table 1]. The wires were of the straight type. In cases in which only the preformed arch forms were available their straight posterior segments were used. A total of 30 wire segments from each type were provided; each segment measured 30 mm in length. Then the wires were randomly divided into three groups of 10.

Group I was assigned as the control group. The wire samples in group II were sterilized by dry heat in an oven (12 Bahman Digital Sterilizer, DSL 40, Isfahan, Iran) at 160°C for 1 hour. The wire samples in group III were sterilized by steam in an autoclave (Melag Euroclav, 23 V-S, Berlin, Germany) at 121°C and 15 psi for 15 minutes. The wire samples were left to gradually cool to temperatures near the ambient temperature in the same sterilizers. Both process indicators and test strips were used to ensure the sterilization cycles and processes. Then the samples underwent a three-point load-deflection test in the dry state as described by Miura et al.[31] The test was carried out using a Universal mechanical testing machine (Model 1122, Instron Corporation, Canton, MA, USA) using a specially designed setup, consisting of two metallic half-cylindrical structures placed parallel to each other. A single maxillary first premolar bracket (American Orthodontics, Master Series, WIS, USA), with a 0.022×0.028 -inch slot size with zero angulation and zero torque was bonded on each of the halfcylinders [Figure 1], with a distance of 14 mm between the brackets. The wire sample to be tested was fixed on the brackets using 0.012-inch elastomeric ligatures (Ortho Organizers, Carlsbad, California, USA). The center of each wire was deflected by moving a metallic pole adjusted on the upper head of the testing machine at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. The load was recorded at 0.1-mm intervals from the inactive position up to 1.5 mm of activation and then returning to the zero point to achieve load-deflection characteristics of

Table 1: β -titanium wires tested in the present study

Code	Wire	Manufacturer	Form
HONE	TMA low friction colored - Honeydew	Ormco Corp, Glendora, California, USA	Archform
TMAL	TMA low friction	Ormco Corp, Glendora, California, USA	Archform
RES	Resolve	GAC International, Inc, Central Islip, NY, USA	Straight wire
BETA	BetaForce	Ortho Technology, Tampa, Florida, USA	Straight wire
CNA	Beta CNA	Ortho Organizers, Carlsbad, California, USA	Straight wire



Figure 1: Load-deflection apparatus with the wire in place

each wire. The forces during loading and unloading were registered.

Data was analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA to compare load-deflection properties of the five types of β -Ti wires and determine the changes in characteristics during loading and unloading separately after sterilization of each wire type. Then Scheffé's test was used to determine statistical significance at *P*<0.05. Scheffe is a post-hoc test, done after ANOVA test, to evaluate the differences between each two groups.

RESULTS

Tables 2 and 3 present means and standard deviations of loading and unloading forces of different types of β -Ti wires after 1.5 mm of deflection in the three groups under study at 0.1-mm intervals. Figures 2-6 show load-deflection curves.

Load-deflection characteristics of the five types of β -Ti wires

Repeated measures ANOVA showed that during loading, HONE wire had the least force level (P < 0.05) and RES wire had the highest force level. However, there were no statistically significant differences in force levels between RES, CNA and BETA wires (P > 0.05). No significant differences were observed in force levels between TMAL and BETA wires (P > 0.05). The force levels of RES and CNA wires were significantly higher than that of TMAL wire (P < 0.05). During unloading, TMAL and HONE wires exhibited the lowest force levels (P < 0.05); although the force of TMAL wire was a little less than that of HONE wire, there were no significant differences between them (P > 0.05).

RES wire exhibited the highest force level, which was significantly higher than those of BETA, TMAL and HONE wires (P < 0.05).

Although RES wires exhibited force levels slightly higher than those of CNA wires, there were no significant differences between the two wires (P > 0.05). There were no significant differences in force levels between BETA and CNA wires (P > 0.05).

Effect of sterilization process on loading and unloading characteristics of $\beta\text{-Ti}$ wires of various brands

Repeated measures ANOVA revealed the following [Figures 2-6]:

BETA wires

Dry heat sterilization group (II) exhibited the highest force levels during loading and unloading (P < 0.05). The force levels in the group sterilized by steam (III) were significantly higher than those in the control group (I) during both loading and unloading (P < 0.05). The force levels of various groups of BETA wires during loading and unloading were as follows: I < III < II.

RES and CNA wires

The dry heat sterilization group (II) exhibited the highest force levels during loading and unloading (P < 0.05). There were more differences between groups I (control) and II in the higher deflection ranges [Figures 3 and 4]. There were no significant differences in force levels between the control (I) and steam sterilization groups during loading and unloading (P > 0.05). The force levels of various RES and CNA groups during loading were as follows: I≈III<II.

HONE wire

During loading, the dry heat sterilization group (II) exhibited the highest force level (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in force levels between the control (I) and steam sterilization (III) groups (P > 0.05). During unloading, the steam sterilization group (III) had the highest force levels (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in force levels between the dry heat (II) and control (I) groups (P > 0.05). The force levels of different HONE wire groups during loading and unloading had the following relationships, respectively: I≈III<II and I≈II<

TMAL wires

There were no significant differences in force levels during loading and unloading between groups I, II and III (P > 0.05) (I \approx II \approx III).

Table 2: Means and standard d	eviations (SD)	for loading	forces at 0.1	mm intervals of	of deflection	for	wire
samples in groups I-III							

Loading	Sterilization	TMAL	HONE	RES	ВЕТА	CNA
deflection (mm)		mean (SD)				
0.1	Group I	318 (42)	219 (60)	354 (7)	204 (47)	332 (28)
	Group II	303 (16)	351 (81)	339 (27)	345 (33)	340 (13)
	Group III	299 (23)	252 (67)	346 (32)	335 (21)	335 (9)
0.2	Group I	595 (34)	479 (90)	634 (7)	459 (69)	605 (44)
	Group II	589 (23)	700 (67)	615 (37)	619 (44)	619 (18)
	Group III	579 (31)	542 (66)	634 (42)	603 (31)	609 (16)
0.3	Group I	866 (32)	795 (112)	905 (10)	806 (48)	883 (56)
	Group II	872 (26)	993 (61)	904 (49)	907 (60)	910 (21)
	Group III	850 (37)	840 (47)	904 (52)	884 (38)	891 (16)
0.4	Group I	1134 (39)	1102 (84)	1150 (15)	1093 (43)	1135 (58)
	Group II	1150 (31)	1266 (59)	1172 (52)	1171 (64)	1177 (24)
	Group III	1114 (44)	1120 (40)	1151 (55)	1142 (31)	1142 (18)
0.5	Group I	1389 (34)	1363 (77)	1381 (16)	1338 (46)	1374 (57)
	Group II	1424 (36)	1528 (50)	1431 (52)	1424 (64)	1433 (27)
	Group III	1375 (50)	1384 (42)	1386 (59)	1379 (30)	1380 (21)
0.6	Group I	1627 (34)	1601 (69)	1600 (18)	1576 (27)	1603 (60)
	Group II	1680 (38)	1756 (37)	1685 (52)	1672 (65)	1687 (27)
	Group III	1621 (56)	1629 (44)	1612 (60)	1610 (30)	1610 (26)
0.7	Group I	1833 (41)	1802 (59)	1806 (18)	1789 (23)	1813 (65)
	Group II	1898 (42)	1936 (34)	1922 (52)	1903 (67)	1917 (32)
0.0	Group III	1833 (62)	1836 (44)	1821 (61)	1825 (34)	1822 (29)
0.8	Group I	1998 (49)	1960 (55)	1995 (20)	1982 (25)	2004 (69)
	Group II	2066 (47)	2073 (37)	2144 (52)	2120 (69)	2134 (34)
0.0	Group III	2003 (66)	1997 (47)	2013 (60)	2026 (38)	2017 (32)
0.9	Group I	2123 (33)	2080 (38)	2107 (21)	2155 (29)	2173 (73)
	Group III	2193 (47)	2175 (41)	2343 (32)	2314 (71)	2329 (30)
1	Group II	2133 (07)	2110 (40)	2169 (00)	2200 (42)	2100 (30)
I	Group II	2224 (34)	2171 (00)	2518 (21)	2480 (71)	2310 (79)
	Group III	2233 (66)	2200 (43)	23/3 (58)	2362 (43)	2330 (35)
11	Group I	2305 (55)	2242 (63)	2443 (21)	2423 (40)	2436 (83)
1.1	Group II	2367 (43)	2313 (47)	2661 (51)	2612 (68)	2633 (42)
	Group III	2309 (65)	2276 (43)	2474 (56)	2491 (43)	2463 (34)
1.2	Group I	2368 (56)	2295 (65)	2544 (21)	2521 (41)	2537 (84)
	Group II	2427 (43)	2360 (48)	2777 (49)	2713 (64)	2740 (43)
	Group III	2373 (65)	2328 (41)	2581 (52)	2595 (45)	2566 (34)
1.3	Group I	2421 (57)	2339 (68)	2626 (22)	2602 (43)	2619 (84)
	Group II	2478 (40)	2398 (47)	2866 (48)	2783 (57)	2822 (43)
	Group III	2427 (66)	2368 (40)	2673 (50)	2687 (49)	2652 (34)
1.4	Group I	2471 (60)	2373 (72)	2697 (25)	2668 (44)	2692 (80)
	Group II	2523 (41)	2432 (48)	2928 (52)	2838 (53)	2885 (47)
	Group III	2475 (69)	2405 (40)	2751 (50)	2769 (55)	2726 (34)
1.5	Group I	2507 (62)	2392 (76)	2739 (29)	2710 (49)	2740 (79)
	Group II	2556 (44)	2454 (48)	2958 (54)	2863 (46)	2928 (54)
	Group III	2511 (71)	2424 (41)	2795 (51)	2822 (58)	2782 (37)

According to hysteresis evaluation (energy loss upon unloading) of wires before and after sterilization showed that only sterilization with dry heat resulted in an increase in hysteresis of TMAL and HONE wires; however, autoclave sterilization did not have any effect on hysteresis of the wires under study.

DISCUSSION

 β -Ti wires have gained great popularity in orthodontics due to their favorable nature to tooth and supporting tissues.^[19] Multi-stage processing of orthodontic wires by manufacturers has a significant influence on their

Table 3: Means and standard deviations (SD) for unloading forces at 0.1 mm intervals of deflection for wire specimens in groups I-III

Unloading deflection Sterilization TMAL HONE RES BETA	CNA
(mm) mean (SD) mean (SD) mean (SD) mean (SD)	mean (SD)
1.4 Group I 1924 (58) 1931 (71) 2123 (41) 2098 (10)	2083 (70)
Group II 1998 (58) 1967 (44) 2306 (41) 2249 (48)	2204 (31)
Group III 1917 (30) 1996 (49) 2099 (83) 2080 (47)	2058 (45)
1.3 Group I 1652 (46) 1668 (58) 1859 (35) 1838 (15)	1834 (63)
Group II 1698 (46) 1685 (39) 2045 (37) 1983 (35)	1967 (25)
Group III 1641 (22) 1726 (31) 1840 (62) 1827 (35)	1822 (36)
1.2 Group I 1406 (35) 1426 (52) 1651 (30) 1624 (16)	1629 (61)
Group II 1434 (37) 1425 (36) 1829 (33) 1777 (33)	1766 (23)
Group III 1398 (16) 1486 (25) 1641 (51) 1630 (28)	1627 (31)
1.1 Group I 1175 (30) 1193 (49) 1465 (26) 1431 (14)	1440 (59)
Group II 1185 (33) 1176 (34) 1629 (26) 1588 (30)	1577 (20)
Group III 1167 (13) 1255 (26) 1461 (44) 1453 (22)	1447 (27)
1 Group I 949 (27) 965 (45) 1289 (23) 1247 (12)	1260 (54)
Group II 940 (32) 929 (33) 1437 (21) 1403 (27)	1391 (18)
Group III 940 (13) 1027 (32) 1289 (38) 1283 (18)	1273 (23)
0.9 Group I 724 (27) 739 (42) 1116 (21) 1067 (12)	1083 (49)
Group II 698 (31) 686 (31) 1247 (17) 1218 (24)	1207 (18)
Group III 716 (16) 801 (40) 1120 (34) 1115 (17)	1102 (21)
0.8 Group I 501 (27) 515 (40) 945 (19) 889 (13)	908 (46)
Group II 458 (31) 446 (31) 1058 (15) 1033 (24)	1021 (19)
Group III 493 (19) 578 (47) 951 (30) 947 (15)	932 (19)
0.7 Group I 280 (28) 296 (40) 773 (18) 710 (16)	735 (39)
Group II 222 (30) 211 (31) 867 (14) 846 (25)	831 (20)
Group III 270 (23) 357 (54) 784 (26) 779 (13)	761 (18)
0.6 Group I 62 (28) 82 (39) 600 (16) 528 (21)	559 (33)
Group II 10 (16) 7 (11) 671 (14) 655 (28)	637 (24)
Group III 50 (24) 143 (58) 613 (23) 609 (12)	589 (17)
0.5 Group I 0 0 424 (15) 351 (20)	383 (27)
Group II 0 0 471 (15) 456 (33)	438 (26)
Group III 0 0 438 (20) 435 (11)	413 (17)
0.4 Group I 0 0 245 (15) 167 (19)	204 (19)
Group II 0 0 269 (14) 253 (36)	233 (28)
Group III 0 0 258 (17) 254 (10)	234 (16)

mechanical properties.^[32,33]

High autoclave or dry heat sterilizer temperatures, too, might influence the mechanical properties of wires. Therefore, it is important for the manufacturers to evaluate the effect of sterilization procedures on β -Ti wires. Heat sterilization techniques were selected in the present study because they are the most popular type of sterilization technique used in dental offices; in addition, it is the most typical method recommended until now.^[6,34]

The load-deflection properties are significant parameters in determining the biologic nature of tooth movement.^[10] A modified version of the three-point bending test was used in the present study to evaluate load-deflection properties of orthodontic wires. This technique provides a simple model by determining the nature of forces applied during orthodontic treatment and closely simulates clinical situations.^[31] In the clinic, when a wire undergoes deflection to be placed within a bracket on a malaligned tooth, in fact, it undergoes loading. When a wire is inclined to return to its original shape, unloading takes place, which provides the necessary force to elicit a biologic tissue response, resulting in the alignment of teeth.^[35] However, laboratory tests do not necessarily reflect the clinical situations; rather, they provide a basis for the comparison of various brands of wires.^[16]

Of the five brands of wires under study [Tables 2 and 3], the wires manufactured by Ormco (TMAL and HONE) exhibited the lowest force during unloading, with TMAL forces being higher than those of HONE



Figure 2: Load-deflection graph of BETA wires in the control group and after sterilization with dry and moist heat



Figure 4: Load-deflection graph of RES wires in the control group and after sterilization with dry and moist heat



Figure 6: Load-deflection graph of TMAL wires in the control group and after sterilization with dry and moist heat

during loading, statically equal to those of BETA wires. Therefore, HONE wires, compared to the other types tested, are more easily engaged in the brackets of malaligned teeth due to lower force levels needed to deflect.

RES and CNA wires required higher force levels for deflection; therefore, these wires exhibited



Figure 3: Load-deflection graph of CNA wires in the control group and after sterilization with dry and moist heat



Figure 5: Load-deflection graph of HONE wires in the control group and after sterilization with dry and moist heat

greater stiffness in comparison to TMAL and HONE wires, which might be attributed to differences in composition and processing of the alloys used in the manufacture of each of these orthodontic wires. Given the curves and steepness of load-deflection graphs of the control wires in the present study [Figures 2-6], it appears RES, BETA and CNA wires have different behaviors compared to the two products from the Ormco company (TMAL and HONE). These results are supported by those of Kusy et al., [29] who reported that the same wire manufacturer due to similar composition and surface roughness could have manufactured the β -Ti new products of RES, BETA III and CNA. The original β -Ti alloy from the Ormco Company could have been manufactured with the same characteristics.

The results of the present study showed that dry heat sterilization significantly increases force levels during loading and unloading of CNA, BETA and RES and loading of HONE wires, making them stiffer. As indicated by the increased slope of the graphs [Figures 2-5] dry heat sterilization influences the clinical performance of CNA, RES, BETA and HONE wires. It also results in increased force delivery by CNA, RES and BETA to teeth. The differences in the effect of sterilization with dry heat and steam might be attributed to the use of longer times and higher temperatures in the sterilization process by dry heat. However, sterilization with dry heat did not result in significant changes in force levels during loading and unloading of TMAL wires [Figure 6]. Previous studies on the effect of dry heat sterilization on β -Ti wires have evaluated only TMA wires (Ormco, Glendora, California, USA) with a size of 0.016 inch. Smith et al. reported that use of dry heat sterilization with a temperature of 375°F (191°C) for 10 minutes on TMA archform wires (Ormco, Glendora, California, USA) which had already been used clinically did not exert any influence on tensile and load-deflection test results of the wires.^[25] The results of the present study with TMAL wires are consistent with the results of a study by Smith et al. with TMA wires.

Stagers *et al.* evaluated the effect of dry heat sterilization at 375°F for 20 minutes on TMA wires (Ormco, Glendora, California, USA) and reported a significant increase in the tensile strength of wires.^[26]

In addition to the type and size of the wires, other factors, which might have resulted in differences between the results of the present study and other studies, mentioned above might be the differences in the sterilization protocols and the type of the mechanical tests applied.

The results of the present study did not show any effect of steam sterilization (autoclave) [Figures 2-6] on load-deflection characteristics of the majority of β -Ti wires tested; however, it increased force levels during loading and unloading of BETA and unloading of HONE wires, which is reflected by an increased slope of loading and unloading of BETA and unloading of HONE wires [Figures 2 and 5]. Therefore, these wires will deliver greater forces to teeth after sterilization in an autoclave.

Regarding the low force levels of TMAL wire, especially during unloading both in the control group and after sterilization, it appears ion implantation process is only related to surface characteristics and a decrease in friction, with no detrimental effects on load-deflection characteristics of the wire even after sterilization.

The different effects of sterilization on HONE and TMAL wires might be attributed to differences in the

type and concentration of ions or other factors during the manufacturing processes of these wires.^[23,24] It is suggested that clinicians sterilize TMAL, RES and CNA wires in an autoclave before using them without any worries because their favorable load characteristics will not undergo any changes after sterilization, which is consistent with the results of a study carried out by Pernier *et al.* on the effect of autoclave sterilization at 134°C or 274°F for 18 minutes on TMA, TMAL and RES wires. They reported no differences in load-displacement curves after sterilization of any wire tested.^[8]

Staggers *et al.* reported no effect of autoclave sterilization (250°F for 20 minutes) on tensile strength of TMA wires.^[26]

In addition, a study by Smith *et al.* did not show any perceptible clinical differences between new wires and clinically used wires, which are later, sterilized in an autoclave (274°F for 10 minutes).^[25]

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The results of the present study showed differences in force levels during loading and unloading between the five brands of β -Ti wires under study after 1.5 mm of deflection; RES, CNA and BETA wires exhibited different force deflection behaviors in comparison with the two products of Ormco Company (TMAL and HONE).
- 2. There are different changes in load-deflection characteristics of various β -Ti wires after sterilization with dry heat and heated steam.
- 3. The clinicians who want to provide maximum safety for their patients can sterilize RES, TMAL and CNA wires in an autoclave without any worries before placing them in the oral cavity.

However, further *in vivo* studies are required to substantiate the results of the present study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cash RG. Trends in sterilization and disinfection procedures in orthodontic offices. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1990;98:292-9.
- 2. Ayatollahi J, Ayatollahi F, Ardekani AM, Bahrololoomi R, Ayatollahi J, Ayatollahi A, *et al.* Occupational hazards to dental staff. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2012;9:2-7.
- 3. Payne GS. Sterilization and disinfection in the orthodontic Office: A practical approach. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1986;90:250-2.
- 4. Mulick JF. Upgrading sterilization in the orthodontic Practice. Am J Orthod 1986;89:346-51.

- Kangane SK, Sawant SK, Patil PS. Instrument Sterilization in the orthodontic clinic: A review. Int Journal of Clinical Dental Science 2010;1:53-8.
- Vinay P, Giridhar RY, Nikhilanand H, Priyadashini. Sterilization Methods in orthodontics- A review. Int J Dent Clin 2011;3:44-7.
- Juvvadi SR, Kailasam V, Padmanabhan S, Chitharanjan AB. Physical, mechanical, and flexural properties of 3 orthodontic wires: An *in-vitro* study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2010;138:623-30.
- Pernier C, Grosgogeat B, Ponsonnet L, Benay G, Lissac M. Influence of autoclave sterilization on the surface parameters and mechanical properties of six orthodontic wires. Eur J Orthod 2005;27:72-81.
- Gurgel JA, Kerr S, Powers JM, Le Crone V. Force- deflection properties of superelastic nickel –titanium archwires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2001;120:378-82.
- Burstone CJ. Variable modulus orthodontics. Am J Orthod 1981;80:1-16.
- 11. Goldberg AJ, Burstone CJ. An evaluation of beta titanium alloys for use in orthodontic appliances. J Dent Res 1979;58:593-9.
- Burstone CJ, Goldberg AJ. Beta titanium: A new orthodontic alloy. Am J Orthod 1980;77:121-32.
- Kusy RP. Comparison of nickel- titanium and beta-titanium wire sizes to conventional orthodontic arch wire materials. Am J Orthod 1981;79:625-9.
- Drak SR, Wayne DM, Powers JM, Asgar K. Mechanical properties of orthodontic wires in tension, bending and torsion. Am J Orthod 1982;82:206-10.
- 15. Donovan MT, Lin JJ, Brantley WA, Conover JP. Weldability of beta titanium arch wires. Am J orthod 1984;85:207-16.
- Kapila S, Sachdeva R. Mechanical properties and clinical applications of orthodontic wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1989;96:100-9.
- 17. Alavi S, Farahi A. Effect of fluoride on friction between bracket and wire. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2011;8:S37-42.
- Kapila S, Angolkar PV, Duncanson MG, Nanda RS. Evaluation of friction between edgewise stainless steel brackets and orthodontic wires of four alloys. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1990;98:117-26.
- Krishnan V, Kumar KJ. Mechanical properties and surface characteristics of three archwire alloys. Angle Orthod 2004;74:825-31.
- 20. Verstrynge A, Van Humbeeck J, Willems G. *In-vitro* evaluation of the material characteristics of stainless steel and betatitanium orthodontic wires. Am J orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2006;130:460-70.
- Kula K, Phillips C, Gibilaro A, pProffit WR. Effect of ion implantation of TMA archwires on the rate of orthodontic sliding space closure. Am J orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1998;114:577-80.
- Kusy RP, Tobin EJ, Whitley JQ, Sioshansi P. Frictional coefficients of ion-implanted alumina against ion-implanted beta-titanium in the low load, Low velocity, single pass regime. Dent Mater 1992;8:167-72.

- Burstone CJ, Farzin–Nia F. Production of low- friction and colored TMA by ion implantation. J Clin Orthod 1995;29: 453-61.
- Cash A, Curtis R, Garrigia-Majo D, McDonald F. A comparative study of the static and kinetic frictional resistance of titanium molybdenum alloy archwires in stainless steel brackets. Eur J Orthod 2004;26:105-11.
- Smith GA, von Fraunhofer JA, Casey GR. The effects of clinical use and sterilization on selected orthodontic arch wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992;102:153-9.
- Staggers JA, Margeson D. The effects of sterilization on the tensile strength of orthodontic wires. Angle Orthod 1993;63:141-4.
- Mayhew MJ, Kusy RP. Effects of sterilization on the mechanical properties and the surface topography of nickel-titanium arch wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1988;93:232-6.
- Buckthal JE, Kusy RP. Effects of cold disinfectants on the mechanical properties and the surface topography of nickel-titanium arch wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1988;94:117-22.
- Kusy RP, Whitley JQ, de Araújo Gurgel J. Comparisons of surface roughnesses and sliding resistances of 6 titanium-based or TMA-type archwires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2004;126:589-603.
- Johnson E. Relative stiffness of beta titanium archwires. Angle Orthod 2003;73:259-69.
- Miura F, Mogi M, Ohura Y, Hamanaka H. The superelastic property of the Japanese NiTi alloy wire for use in orthodontics. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1986;90:1-10.
- Shastry CV, Godlberg AJ. The influence of drawing parameters on the mechanical properties of two beta- titanium alloys. J Dent Res 1983;62:1092-7.
- Mo WN, Choe HC, KO YM. Effect of drawing method on the mechanical properties and corrosion resistance of stainless steel wire for use in orthodontics. J Dent Res 2003;82:341-2, Abstr. No.2644.
- Miller CH, Palenik CJ. Instrument Processing. Infection control and Management of hazardous materials for the dental team. 4th ed. St Louis, Missouri: Mosby; 2010. p. 146-57.
- Kapila S, Haugen JW, Watanabe LG. Load –deflection characteristics of nickel-titanium alloy wires after clinical recycling and dry heat sterilization. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992;102:120-6.

How to cite this article: Alavi S, Sinaee N. Effect of dry heat and steam sterilization on load-deflection characteristics of β -titanium wires: An *in vitro* study. Dent Res J 2012;9:541-8.

Source of Support: This report is based on a thesis which was submitted to the School of Dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the MSc degree in Orthodontics (#390278). The study was approved by the Medical Ethics and Research Office at the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences and financially supported by this University. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

548