Bacterial Adhesion of Porphyromonas Gingivalis on Provisional Fixed Prosthetic Materials
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ABSTRACT

Background: When provisional restorations are worn for long term period, the adhesion of bacteria becomes a primary factor in the development of periodontal diseases. The aims of this study were to evaluate the surface roughness and bacterial adhesion of four different provisional fixed prosthetic materials.

Methods: Ten cylindrical specimens were prepared from bis-acrylic composites (PreVISION CB and Protemp 3 Garant), a light-polymerized composite (Revotek LC), and a polymethyl methacrylate-based (Dentalon) provisional fixed prosthodontic materials. Surface roughness was assessed by profilometry. The bacterial adhesion test was applied using Porphyromonas gingivalis (P. gingivalis) and spectrofluorometric method. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Dunnett t-tests.

Results: All tested materials were significantly rougher than glass (P < 0.05). Revotek LC had the greatest fluorescence intensity, PreVISION and Protemp 3 Garant had moderate values and all of them had significantly more bacterial adhesion compared to glass (P < 0.05). Dentalon had the lowest fluorescence intensity among the provisional fixed prosthodontic materials.

Conclusion: The quantity of bacterial adhesion and surface roughness differed among the assessed provisional fixed prosthodontic materials. The light-polymerized provisional material Revotek LC had rougher surface and more bacterial adhesion compared with the others.
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Introduction

Provisional crown and fixed partial prostheses represent important elements in modern fixed prosthetic treatments.¹-³ These prostheses are intended to enable prognoses and give the patient function, phonation, and good aesthetics while maintaining tissue compatibility until permanent restoration is achieved.⁴-⁶

For provisional restorations (PRs) to be successful, they must resist the adhesion of microorganisms, which facilitates surface colonization and plaque maturation and increases the risk of periodontal infections.⁷ While many studies have focused on microbiological adhesion on amalgams, glass ionomers, and composite resins,⁸⁻⁹ relatively few have investigated the bacterial adhesion on provisional fixed prosthodontic materials (PFPMs).⁶⁻⁷

Many bacteria are able to adhere to hard surfaces in the oral cavity,⁶⁻⁸ and the surface roughness of intraoral hard surfaces has a significant effect on primary and oral microorganism adhesion.¹⁰

In vitro studies have shown that a mean surface roughness greater than 0.2 µm in fixed restorations increases the degree of bacterial adhesion.¹⁰,¹¹

Bacterial adhesion results in several physical and chemical consequences, such as leukotoxins, high levels of protease activity, and tissue invasion, which can contribute to the loss of gingival
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attachment that occurs as periodontitis progresses. Bacterial adhesion varies among species, but most previous studies have referred to Porphyromonas Gingivalis (P. gingivalis), an anaerobic species frequently associated with periodontal disease. P. gingivalis is a gram-negative, black-pigmented, strictly anaerobic bacterium that has been implicated as a major etiological agent in the development and progression of periodontitis, particularly the chronic form.

The present in vitro study evaluated the adhesive properties of P. gingivalis and assessed how these properties relate to surface roughness on four commonly used PFPMs using a spectrofluorometric method in combination with scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

**Materials and Methods**

Commercially available provisional materials were chosen such that each class of commonly used material was represented, as shown in Table 1. Glass, which is generally considered to be extremely smooth and is often used in bacterial adhesion studies, was selected as a control material. Ten cylindrical specimens (10 x 2.0 mm in height) were prepared with each of the four PFPMs (Dentalon, Revotek LC, PreVISION CB, Protemp 3 Garant) using a custom metal mold with calibrated circular holes. Specimens of Revotek LC were polymerized with a light-emitting diode (LED) light source (Blue Swan Digital, DentaNet, Turkey) held ap-proximately 1 mm away at 400 mW/cm² for 20 seconds. All specimens were wet-ground with 600-grit silicon carbide abrasive paper for 10 seconds on a 30-rpm grinding machine (Buehler Metaserv, Germany). After polishing, a mean surface roughness value (Rₐ) was measured at four randomly selected points on each of the specimen surfaces with a profilometer (Surf test 201, Mitutoyo, Japan). A 7.5-mm field was scanned for every measurement with a study gap of 250 µm.

All specimens were stored in distilled water for 10 days and then cleaned with ethanol (70%) and placed into 24-well plates (TPP, Switzerland) with one specimen per well. P. gingivalis (ATCC 33277; ATTC, USA) was cultured for 72 hours on non-selective anaerobe agar and colonies were resuspended in thioglycolate broth (Merck, Germany). The bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 18°C for 5 minutes at 2’000 rpm and the resulting bacterial pellet was washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution. The final bacterial suspension was diluted in PBS to an optical density of 0.3 at 540 nm as determined with a spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek-Synergy HT Microplate reader, Bio-Tek Instruments, USA). Alamar Blue/ Resazurin (0.007536 g/10 mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used to determine the degree of bacterial adhesion. Before starting the experiment, 1 mL PBS was added to each well and the autofluorescence of the specimens was measured. The buffer was then removed and replaced with 1 mL bacterial solution and 15 µL resazurin. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 150 minutes under anaerobic conditions (85% N₂ 10% H₂, and 5% O₂). After incubation, the bacterial suspension and resazurin were extracted by suction, the wells were washed twice with distilled water, and 1 mL PBS was added to each well. Fluorescence intensities were recorded using a multi-detection microplate reader, at excitation and emission wavelengths of 530 and 590 nm, respectively. Controls consisted of the fluorescence emission from pure PBS, PBS with resazurin, and pure bacterial suspension.

**Table 1. Material class and manufacturer information for the provisional fixed prosthodontic materials evaluated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>Product Name</th>
<th>Lot Number</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polymethyl methacrylate resin (auto polymerizing)</td>
<td>Dentalon</td>
<td>010208</td>
<td>Kulzer, Wehrheim, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>composite resin (light-polymerizing)</td>
<td>Revotek LC</td>
<td>0704091</td>
<td>GC Dental Products, Aichi, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-component bis-acrylic resin (auto polymerizing)</td>
<td>PreVISION CB</td>
<td>010086</td>
<td>Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-component system bis-acrylic resin (auto polymerizing)</td>
<td>Protemp 3 Garant</td>
<td>315185</td>
<td>3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After incubation with *P. gingivalis*, one specimen of each material was rinsed with PBS and fixed with methanol to acquire SEM images. After solvent evaporation, specimens were coated with gold palladium and critical point dried, mounted on aluminium stubs, and examined via SEM (LEO 440, LeoElectron Microscopy, UK) operating at 20.00 kV.

To determine the significance of observed differences, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to the fluorescence and surface roughness data using statistical software (version 12.0.1 for Windows, SPSS Inc., USA). The mean values of testes materials and glass were compared by Dunnett t-test. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Surface roughness**

The Rₐ data for the tested PFPMs are presented in Table 2. The surface roughness ranged from 1.10 ± 0.49 µm (Protemp 3 Garant, the smoothest one) to 2.30 ± 0.43 µm (Revotek LC, the roughest one). All of the PFPMs were significantly rougher than the glass with the Ra < 0.01 µm (P < 0.05). Figures 1 and 2 show the SEM photographs of these two materials, respectively, which indicate the rougher surface of Revotek LC.

**Table 2. Statistical analyses of surface roughness of studied provisional materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dentalon</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.36 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revotek LC</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.43 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreVISION CB</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.62 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protemp 3 Garant</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.49 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dunnett t-tests showed significant difference with glass (P < 0.05).

**Bacterial adhesion**

The mean fluorescence intensities of tested materials are shown in Table 3. The Dentalon specimens exhibited the least fluorescence, different from the controls by less than 10,000 counts, indicating low bacterial adhesion. No significant difference was detected between this material and glass. PreVISION CB and Protemp 3 Garant exhibited moderate adhesion and differed from the control by 10,000 to 30,000 counts (P < 0.05). Revotek LC gave the highest fluorescence of 30,000 counts above that of the control (P < 0.05). Significant differences were observed in the degree of fluorescence intensity among Revotek LC, PreVISION CB, and Protemp 3 Garant (P < 0.05). Among the PFPM samples evaluated herein, the light-polymerized composit resin and the outopolymerized poly methylmethacrylate (PMMA) specimens exhibited the highest and lowest fluorescence intensity, respectively.

**Figure 1.** Scanning electron micrograph shows the surface roughness of Revotek LCs (profile width = 367.05 µm, 300X magnification)

**Figure 2.** Scanning electron micrograph shows the surface roughness of Protemp 3 Garant (profile width = 359.03 µm, 300X magnification)
Table 3. Statistical analyses of fluorescence intensities of studied provisional materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dentalon</td>
<td>4,055.72 ± 1,439.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revotek LC</td>
<td>30,921.13 ± 12,438.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreVISION CB</td>
<td>16,494.33 ± 3,443.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protemp 3 Garant</td>
<td>20,845.51 ± 9,005.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>2,344.23 ± 2,166.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dunnett t-test showed significant difference with glass (P < 0.05).

Discussion

The placement of crown margins in intracrevicular spaces is an etiologic factor for gingival/periodontal inflammation. The specific plaque hypothesis suggests that certain oral bacteria are critical for initiation and progression of gingivitis/periodontitis. In vitro investigations have indicated that P. gingivalis, a gram negative bacterium and a factor in periodontitis, adheres to fixed prosthetic materials. In intracrevicular margin locations, the surface roughness and chemistry of PFPMs provide a potential niche for colonization by oral bacteria. As indicated by Borchers et al., when PR is used for longer periods, plaque prevention becomes increasingly important, necessitating a smooth surface.

In the present study, the relative degree of bacterial adhesion was measured on two autopolymerized composites, a light-polymerized composite, and a PMMA-based PR material.

Fluorescence techniques offer quick and reproducible quantification with relatively few potential measurement errors. The resazurin fluorescence assay (Alamar Blue) can indicate the quantity of viable bacteria, since a direct correlation exists between the number of living microorganisms in the assay and the amount of resazurin that is reduced to the fluorescent resorufin. SEM analyses are especially well suited for microscopic characterization of bacterial morphology, material surfaces, and the interactions between them.

The degree of bacterial adhesion varied significantly among materials. Relative to the other samples, greater fluorescence emission was detected with Revotek LC, Protemp 3 Garant, and PreVISION CB, indicating a relatively high susceptibility of P. gingivalis to adherence. The light-polymerized Revotek LC provisional composite by chain aggregation. This represents a more developed stage of biofilm formation (Figure 4).
material exhibited the highest surface roughness of all the specimens. This result agrees with Guler et al.\textsuperscript{22} who considered Revotek LC as being clinically unacceptable in this regard. Therefore, the other three PFPMs (Protemp 3 Garant, PreVISION CB, Dentalon) were expected to exhibit strong correlations between bacterial coverage and surface roughness. However, coverage by \textit{P. gingivalis} on Protemp 3 Garant, which was the smoothest specimen ($R_a = 1.10 \mu m$), was greater than that on both Dentalon ($R_a = 1.41 \mu m$) and PreVISION CB ($R_a = 1.82 \mu m$). Thus, bacterial adhesion is influenced not only by the surface roughness but also by the composition of the resin matrix or the inherent chemistry of the materials.

The relationship between surface roughness and bacterial adhesion has been widely studied. Quirynen et al.\textsuperscript{23} demonstrated the existence of a roughness threshold (0.2 $\mu m$) below which no further impact on bacterial adhesion can be expected. The results of the fluorescence adhesion tests were verified in SEM images of the polymer surfaces. Bacterial colonization and more complex accumulation were found on Revotek LC, which exhibited high fluorescence intensity (Figure 4). Acrylic PMMA showed significantly lower bacterial adhesion and fluorescence than the bis-acrylic composite resins (PreVISION CB and Protemp 3 Garant). Improving the chemical composition of these materials with the goal of reducing bacterial adhesion would allow PRs to be used for longer periods.

Although this study evaluated the surface roughness and the relative adhesion of only one bacterial species, it is a major periodontal pathogen and findings may assist in the future design of PRs boasting low bacterial adhesion. However, to account for the host of additional variables, such as pellicle proteins and the effect(s) of other bacterial species in the intracrevicular region, extensive \textit{in vivo} assessments would need to be performed.

Conclusion

Surface roughness and the degree of bacterial adhesion differed significantly among the four PFPMs investigated. A light-polymerized composite provisional material (Revotek LC) exhibited the roughest surface and the greatest degree of adhesion, while the amount of bacteria observed on PMMA (Dentalon) was low relative to that on the bis-acrylic composite resins (PreVISION CB and Protemp 3 Garant).
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