Radiographic quality of root canal treatment performed by 6th year undergraduate students in Mashhad, Iran

Saeed Moradi¹, Maryam Gharechahi²

¹Dental Material Research Center and Department of Endodontics, ²Dental Research Center, Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of the present study was to investigate the quality of root canal obturation performed by 6th year undergraduate dental students at the Dental School, University of Mashhad, Iran.

Materials and Methods: A random 200 sample records of patients who received endodontic treatment at the Dental School, University of Mashhad between 2009 and 2010 was investigated. The quality of root canal filling was determined in relation to the adequate density and length of root filling. Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with Chi-square test. P < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: About 38% of teeth fulfilled the criteria of an acceptable root canal filling. Adequate length and density of root filling was found in 73% and 66% of teeth respectively. There was significant difference between maxillary and mandibular teeth according to the quality (P = 0.009), length (P = 0.039) and density (P = 0.005) of root filling. The frequency of root canals with an “acceptable” filling was significantly greater in the anterior teeth than in molars.

Conclusion: The technical quality of root canal treatment performed by undergraduate dental students was found to be less than ideal. Thus, the training course of the students at the preclinical and clinic has to be revised.
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INTRODUCTION

Retention of a high number of natural teeth is becoming more popular in contemporary society.[¹] Hence, endodontic therapy is becoming an increasingly routine part of general dental practice.[²] Success of root canal treatment has been shown in the range between 53% and 94%.[³,⁴]

The evaluation methods used to investigate the outcome of endodontic treatment has been based on radiographic evaluation only,[⁵-⁷] radiographic evaluation combined with clinical findings,[⁸-¹⁰] or histological examination.[¹¹,¹²]

The quality of root canal treatment performed by general practitioners in different populations has also been extensively investigated.[⁸,¹³-¹⁵] The results from these studies showed high percentages of inadequate root canal treatment. The reasons for this are complex and may be related to the endodontic teaching that was undertaken at the dental schools.[¹⁶]

Some of the problems in endodontic teaching may be due to limitation of time allocated to endodontics, poor staff to student ratio and that teaching was mostly not undertaken by endodontists.[¹⁷]

Dental students of Mashhad University of Iran are taught endodontics in five levels. A preclinical course is
given in the 3rd year in two terms, where students are trained to perform root canal treatment on extracted teeth. They are expected to complete root canal treatment of at least five anteriors, two bicuspids and four molar teeth. The other three courses are clinical, where students are expected in their 4th, 5th and 6th years to perform endodontic treatment on a variety of teeth.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the technical quality of root fillings using radiographs of teeth treated by 6th year undergraduate dental students at a dental teaching center in Mashhad, Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A random sample of 252 records of patients who had received endodontic treatment by 6th year undergraduate students at the Dental School, Mashhad University during the period of 2009 to 2010 were evaluated. Teeth with excessive root curvature were not treated by undergraduate students. Records that did not include pre- and post-operative periapical radiographs, those where the endodontic treatment was not completed, and those in which the radiographic quality was poor were excluded. The final sample consisted of 200 root filled teeth. A total of 49 were anterior teeth, 57 were premolar and 94 were molar teeth. All root canal treatments were performed by 6th year undergraduate students. An aseptic technique with rubber dam isolation was applied in all cases. Working lengths were determined with the use of radiographs. All teeth were instrumented with passive step-back technique using stainless steel K-files (Dentsply, Tulsa, OK, USA) of 0.02 taper and irrigation with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution. Root fillings were carried out with lateral compaction technique using gutta-percha and AH-26 sealer (Dentsply). The teeth were restored with temporary filling materials.

Clinical supervision was provided by teaching staff and post-graduate students of the department with an average staff to student ratio of 1:5.

The radiographs were examined independently by two investigators using a magnifying lens (×2) and an X-ray viewer. The results were compared and a final consensus was agreed. In case of disagreement, a third investigator was asked to read the radiograph and a final agreement was reached.

The tooth was considered as a unit with the highest score of all roots contributing the score.

The quality of endodontic treatment was determined by the length of the root filling in relation to the radiographic apex and the density of the obturation according to presence of voids [Table 1]. “Acceptable” filling quality was defined as adequate length and density with the absence of any procedural error.

Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with Chi-square test ($P < 0.05$ was considered as significant).

RESULTS

The teeth were classified according to their location in the arches. The frequency of teeth examined in this study is shown in Table 2. 95 teeth were from the maxilla and 105 were from the mandible. Each root was scored individually and the tooth was considered as a unit. The highest score of all roots (in multi-rooted teeth) was assigned and ultimately, failure of one root will lead to failure of the tooth as a whole.

Quality, length and density of root canal filling are shown in Table 3. 76 of 200 teeth (38%) fulfilled the

### Table 1: The criteria for the assessment of radiographic quality of root canal filling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of root canal filling</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>Root filling ending ≤2 mm from radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Over-filling</td>
<td>Root filling beyond the radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-filling</td>
<td>Root filling &gt;2 mm from radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of root canal filling</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>No voids present in the root filling or between root filling and root canal walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inadequate</td>
<td>Voids present in the root filling or between root filling and root canal walls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Distribution of teeth in maxillary and mandibular arches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary anterior teeth</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary premolars</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary molars</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular anterior teeth</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular premolars</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular molars</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
criteria of an acceptable root canal filling. Adequate length of the root filling was found in 73% of teeth, while 11% were short and 16% were overfilled. Adequate density was found in 66% of teeth.

There was statistical significant difference between maxillary and mandibular teeth according to the quality of the root fillings \( (P = 0.009) \). Furthermore, there was significant difference between maxillary and mandibular teeth according to the length \( (P = 0.039) \) and density \( (P = 0.005) \) of the root fillings. 6.7% of mandibular and 15.8% of maxillary teeth had short fillings, while 12.6% of maxillary and 19% of mandibular teeth were overfilled. Adequate density was found in 24.2% of maxillary teeth and 57.1% of mandibular teeth [Table 4].

There was relationship between tooth type and the quality of root filling. A significant difference was observed between molars and the remaining tooth types \( (P = 0.000) \). The frequency of root canals with an “acceptable” filling was significantly greater in the anterior teeth (55.1%) than in premolars (47.4%) or in molars (23.4%) [Table 5].

**DISCUSSION**

This study was performed to evaluate the quality of root canal fillings carried out by 6\textsuperscript{th} year undergraduate students in Dental School, Mashhad University. Post-operative periapical radiographs were used for assessment. Because periapical radiographs can render only a two dimensional image, the clinical radiograph of a root filling, will lead to superimposition of the buccal and lingual images. This limitation of conventional radiographs may result in a clinical error in evaluation. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) can display the surface of the root and internal root canal space in three dimensions. In this way, CBCT can roughly locate the apical foramen and accordingly diagnose obturations that extend into periapical tissue or have obvious unfilled canal space. This overcomes the diagnostic limitations of periapical radiograph for the most part.[18] However, special attention should be paid to the root canal filling evaluation of endodontically treated teeth because the density of filling materials may produce image artifacts and potential risks of misdiagnosis.[19]

The quality of the root fillings was evaluated according to the criteria of Barrieshi-Nusair et al.[16] Studies evaluating the radiographic quality of root canal treatment were mostly based on the evaluation of the length and the density of the root filling.[20-23] The result of the present study indicated that adequate quality of the root fillings was achieved in 38% of teeth, which was similar to study performed by

---

**Table 3:** Overall quality, length and density of root canal fillings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of teeth (%)</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th></th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable (%)</td>
<td>Unacceptable (%)</td>
<td>Adequate (%)</td>
<td>Short-filling (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>76 (38)</td>
<td>124 (62)</td>
<td>146 (73)</td>
<td>22 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Quality, length and density of root canal fillings in relation to teeth position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arch</th>
<th>Number of teeth (%)</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th></th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable (%)</td>
<td>Unacceptable (%)</td>
<td>Adequate (%)</td>
<td>Short-filling (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary</td>
<td>95 (47.5)</td>
<td>45 (47.4)</td>
<td>50 (52.6)</td>
<td>68 (71.5)</td>
<td>15 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular</td>
<td>105 (52.5)</td>
<td>31 (29.5)</td>
<td>74 (70.5)</td>
<td>78 (74.3)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5:** Quality, length, and density of root canal fillings according to teeth type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth type</th>
<th>Number of teeth (%)</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th></th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable (%)</td>
<td>Unacceptable (%)</td>
<td>Adequate (%)</td>
<td>Short-filling (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>49 (24.5)</td>
<td>27 (55.1)</td>
<td>22 (44.9)</td>
<td>37 (75.5)</td>
<td>4 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permolar</td>
<td>57 (28.5)</td>
<td>27 (47.4)</td>
<td>30 (52.6)</td>
<td>37 (64.9)</td>
<td>12 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar</td>
<td>94 (47)</td>
<td>22 (23.4)</td>
<td>72 (76.6)</td>
<td>72 (76.6)</td>
<td>6 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barrieshi-Nusair et al.\(^{[16]}\) Such frequency was lower than the 91.05% reported by Benenati and Khajotia.\(^{[24]}\) 76% reported by Al-Yahya.\(^{[25]}\) 63% reported by Lynch and Burke\(^{[26]}\) and 55% reported by Eleftheriadis and Lambrianidis.\(^{[27]}\) Furthermore, the result was higher than 13% reported by Hayes et al.\(^{[28]}\)

The result of this study showed less than ideal root canal filling. The reasons for this are complex and may be related to the endodontic teaching that was undertaken at the dental schools.\(^{[16]}\)

The quality of maxillary root fillings was better than mandibular in this study (\(P = 0.009\)). This may be explained by the anatomy of mandibular molars for example multi-canalled roots and their curvature. The frequency of teeth with an “acceptable” root filling was significantly greater in the anterior teeth (55.1%) than molars (23.4%) (\(P = 0.000\)). Such results are consistent with the findings of Boucher et al.\(^{[29]}\) and Eleftheriadis and Lambrianidis\(^{[27]}\) who reported that the technical quality was “acceptable” more often in anterior teeth. This may be explained partly by the anatomy of such teeth.

The percentage of root fillings with adequate length was 73% in the present study, which was similar to results (72.4%) reported by Er et al.\(^{[30]}\) The percentage of root fillings with adequate length was greater when compared with those reported by Barrieshi-Nusair et al.\(^{[16]}\) (61.3%) and Chueh et al.\(^{[31]}\) (61.7%). However, estimation of the root filling length was probably not reproduced correctly in all radiographs because post-operative radiographs taken by undergraduate students used bisecting-angle technique. Forsberg\(^{[32]}\) demonstrated that root fillings are projected shorter and more coronally on the X-rays exposed with the bisecting-angle technique than with the paralleling technique.

In the present study, short fillings were found in 11% of all the teeth. The highest percentage of short fillings was found in mandibular molars. This finding concurs with studies of Barrieshi-Nusair et al.\(^{[16]}\) and Er et al.\(^{[30]}\) This may be explained by the anatomy of these teeth such that difficult access to posterior teeth, multi-canalled roots and their curvature, makes root canal treatment more challenging for the students.

In this study, over filling was found in 16% of all the teeth. The highest percentage of over fillings was found in mandibular molars, but there was no significant difference between tooth types. Periapical lesion was found to be the most significant factor affecting the incidence of over filling. The higher percentage of over fillings reported by this study may be due to the higher incidence of the teeth with preexisting periapical radiolucency in our study. These lesions can result in resorption and destruction of the apical constriction and this loss may have influenced working length control by undergraduate students.

Inadequate density of root canal filling may lead to failure of root canal treatment because of microleakage along the root filling.\(^{[22]}\) Eriksen and Bjertness reported that the incidence of apical periodontitis was higher in root filled teeth with inadequate densities.\(^{[33]}\) The results of the present study indicated that adequate density occurred in 66% of cases. Such frequency was consistent with the study of Yoldas et al.\(^{[34]}\) who reported that adequate density was achieved in 64% of teeth. On the contrary, it was greater than the 35% and 53% reported by Balto et al.\(^{[35]}\) and Er et al.\(^{[30]}\) respectively. However, it is difficult to compare the studies as a result of differences in the sample size.

In Dental School, Mashhad University, passive step-back instrumentation using conventional stainless steel files and cold lateral condensation have been taught to our undergraduate dental students. These techniques are the most widely taught and used technique in the dental schools.\(^{[36]}\) A number of schools have incorporated the usage of rotary nickel–titanium instrumentation in their undergraduate teaching courses.\(^{[37]}\) Some studies reported that when dental students used either hand or rotary nickel–titanium instruments, canals were prepared with less procedural errors and more successful treatment occurred compared with using conventional stainless steel instruments.\(^{[38-41]}\)

In our school, preclinical endodontics is taught in two academic terms. Each term lasts 4 months with an allocation of 3 h/week at the phantom head laboratory. This short time tends to limit student’s preclinical training in endodontics with consequent problems during clinical practice. Some investigators evaluating undergraduate endodontic teaching have addressed similar teaching problems.\(^{[17,37,42]}\)

Overall, to improve the technical quality of root canal treatment performed by the undergraduate dental students, the endodontic curriculum has to be revised. Thus, the period of training of the students at the preclinic and clinic has to be extended and subsequently the clinical requirements for the
endodontics have to be increased, with the result that the student will be given more time to treat more cases. The clinical training course has to be arranged to provide the students with the proper skills in endodontics starting with the basic principles in clinical endodontics.

**CONCLUSION**

According to the results of this study, the acceptable technical quality of root canal obturation performed by 6th year undergraduate dental students was found in less than 50% of the cases. Thus, we suggest that the endodontic training courses of the dental students at the preclinical and clinical levels to be revised.
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