Skin and mucosal ischemia as a complication after inferior alveolar nerve block
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ABSTRACT

The anesthetic block of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) is one of the most common techniques used in dental practice. The local complications are due to the failures on the anesthetic block or to anatomic variations in the tap site such as intravascular injection, skin ischemia and ocular problems. The aim of this article is to present a case and discuss the causes of itching and burning sensation, blanching, pain and face ischemia in the oral cavity during the IAN block.
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INTRODUCTION

The troncular anesthetic technique to the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) or “Halstead technique,”¹ is the block of the IAN and lingual nerve through the deposit of local anesthetic in the pterygomandibular space, making possible the development of surgical and dental procedures in the hemimandible.² The local complications are due to the failures in the anesthetic technique or to anatomic variations.³ One of the most common local complications associated with the anatomic site is the intravascular tap, which is reported as a rate of blood-positive aspiration from 0.5%⁴ to 31.3% in a second injection.⁵ This intravascular tap can cause complications such as dizziness,⁶ blanching and skin pain,⁶-⁸ and ocular problems.⁸-¹¹ These complications are temporary, reporting a resolution between 5 and 45 min after the injection.⁸

The reported cases⁶-¹¹ are consistent in pointing out the superficial effect of the anesthetic block of IAN on the skin and attached neighbouring orbital structures. However, there are very few reports¹² about the presence of itching and burning sensations, blanching, pain, and face ischemia in the oral cavity during the IAN block. The aim of this article is to present an unusual case of troncular anesthesia of the IAN that included temporary ischemia in the branches of the maxillary artery. The present report was based on the Case Report Guideline (CARE)¹³ instruction and was approved for its dissemination by the Medicine Faculty Ethics Committee of the Universidad Austral de Chile.

CASE REPORT

A 21-year-old female, Caucasian, ASA I with no associated comorbidity, university student, presented at the dental service of the Universidad Austral de...
Chile on January 5, 2014, to get fillings treatment for superficial dental caries in teeth 35 and 36. Her clinical history did not show surgical interventions under general anesthesia. It reported previous dental filling treatments with the use of local anesthetic with no manifestation of any complication. The intraoral examination showed a good rate of oral hygiene, with no presence of gingivitis or any other injury of the delicate tissues. For the treatment, the dentist decided to use the troncular technique to the IAN or the Halstead technique according to the one described by Malamed[2] using a carpule syringe with a 27-gauge 0.4 mm × 25 mm needle (Terumo Corporation, Japan) and only one vial of 1.8 ml of articaine hydrochloride 4% with epinephrine 1:100,000 (Nova–FL®, Brazil). The dental crown notch, pterygomandibular raphe, and the occlusal plane of the left mandibular molars were considered for the point of injection. Setting the body of the carpule on the opposite side, the dentist set the tip of the needle to the level of the retromolar space and injected approximately 0.3 ml of anesthetic solution into the mouth nerve and then penetrated with the needle into delicate tissue 25 mm approximately until osseous contact. Blood content was observed in the rest of anesthesia cartridge, verifying the positive aspiration of the intravascular tap [Figure 1].

At the moment of the injection, the patient immediately informed of an itching and burning feeling in the nasal and palatine mucosa, without the pain and dizziness associated with the tap. In the clinical examination, numbness was recorded in the left genial region, endangering the lower eyelid, wing of the nose, and upper lip on the left side of the face. The intraoral examination revealed an ischemia of the left mouth mucosa, end of vestibule of the left upper lip, loose and stuck gum between the maxillary teeth 21 and 28 [Figure 2], and palatine mucosa from the median raphe to the palatal alveolar processes [Figure 3]. Due to the clinical picture, the intervention was partially suspended. After 5 min, the patient showed dysesthesia of the lower lip, gum and half of the tongue on the left side; she did not have any visual or nasal complications. She did not present any ocular complications such as double vision, blepharoptosis, miosis, anhidrosis, anisocoria, or others.

After 10 min, gradual recovery was observed of the normal skin color and a pale pink at the end of the buccal space and palatine mucosa. Fifteen minutes after administration of the anesthesia, the anesthetic effect on the IAN was verified through a caries probe in the gingival crevice of tooth 36. After the reported complication, the decision was made to treat teeth 35 and 36. Once completed, the patient received some oral instructions about preventive measures to consider with the lip and tongue anesthesia, soft diet, and to return for a check-up 3 days later. In light of the interesting nature of the case, the patient gave her informed consent to study the case and present it in this article.

DISCUSSION

A case about the complications of ischemia of the skin, buccal mucosa, and left-sided palatal mucosa
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The intravascular injection on an IAN block is variable, reporting a frequency of 0.5% [3] to 20% of the cases [4] and 31.3% after the first positive aspiration. [5] There is currently no consensus regarding the exact cause of the associated complications after the administration of anesthesia to IAN. [6] However, similar reports about intravascular injections in the IAN block [7-9,10,11] have linked the complications to the location of the injection, the patient’s anatomic variations, and the type of drug. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain how a local anesthetic applied in the pterygomandibular region for the IAN block of the mandible can reach and affect the skin of the face and other regions of the head. [7,8,11,12]

Similar to what Paul et al. exposed it, [12] this case presents blanching and a burning sensation in the mucosa of the region irrigated by branches of the maxillary artery on the skin as oral mucosa. The skin blanching and mucosal ischemia are due to the epinephrine vasoconstrictor effect on the alpha-adrenergic receptors of the blood vessels that irrigate the skin and mucosa as well as to a sympathetic effect from the impact of the needle on sympathetic fibers of the tunica adventitia of the artery, causing a vasospasm in the sympathetic plexus around the internal carotid artery. [14,10,15] This would trigger a general vascular reaction to the arterial branches of the maxillary artery, manifesting peripherally with skin blanching and mucosal ischemia on its branches, as in this case.

On the other hand, it is suggested that the intravascular deposit of the drug could have generated an ascendant diffusion from the inferior alveolar artery to the maxillary arterial branch placed deep in the infratemporal region and then extend its branches to the facial region and oral or orbital cavity. [9,10,11,16,17] This artery passes mainly behind the IAN, [18] and although the initial positive aspiration can be negative, the movement of the patient’s head or the operator’s hand can cause the needle to penetrate the arterial wall. [10] Another theoretical explanation could be anatomic variations that approach the maxillary artery in the pterygomandibular region, [18] which would serve as a precedent to explain the intravascular injection directly into the maxillary artery and the observed ischemia that coincides with the topographic distribution of its branches.

Associated with the vascular territory of the maxillary artery after an IAN block is presented.

According to what was observed in this and other previously reported cases, it is recommended that an aspiration should be conducted and blood content inside the cartridge should be observed during IAN block as these could demonstrate an intravascular injection into the inferior alveolar artery or into the tap of the pterygoid plexus placed in the infratemporal region. [3]

In this case, the anatomic variations and the vasoconstrictor effect on the perivascular sympathetic plexus of the branches of the maxillary artery must be considered to be the possible causes of this complication. Fortunately, these complications were temporary, and permanent management and communication with the patient will enable a successful dental treatment.
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